## **EPA Official Record**

Notes ID: 1A5652A1A651BB4A852578690055A5D1

From: "Anderson, Michael (Boston)" < Michael. Anderson@jacobs.com>

To: Dave Dickerson/R1/USEPA/US@EPA

**Copy To:** gary.p.morin@nae02.usace.army.mil; Jim Brown/R1/USEPA/US@EPA; "Pencola, Bill" <Bill.Pencola@jacobs.com>

**Delivered Date:** 08/04/2006 07:38 AM EDT

Subject: RE: FW: Draft Unit Rate Analysis - \$15Million and \$30 Million Funding Scenarios

Dave, Let's go over the comments next week to determine the path forward. We can talk through the volume issue, but I will say Bill and I have discussed it and that will be an easy fix as long as we're assuming dredging as we know it.

One of our main objectives was to get rough, relative pricing among the various funding scenarios, as opposed to more detailed cost estimates that would require far more work. Let's make sure we clarify that point when we talk.

The entire exercise would get far more complicated if we try to price out areas that would require conventional excavation. Our thinking was we could price the vast majority of the work needed by focusing on dredging. However, we can discuss this and resolve the path forward at your convenience.

Mike

----Original Message----

From: dickerson.dave@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:dickerson.dave@epamail.epa.gov]

Sent: Wednesday, August 02, 2006 4:10 PM

To: Anderson, Michael (Boston)

Cc: gary.p.morin@nae02.usace.army.mil; brown.jim@epamail.epa.gov
Subject: Re: FW: Draft Unit Rate Analysis - \$15Million and \$30 Million
Funding Scenarios

Thanks Mike. Couple of quick comments before I leave for a few days:

1. Volume remaining: the best source for this metric, the June 2003 "Volumes, Area and Properties..." report by FWEC, lists 862,449 as the total (with overdredge) for everything south of NWS. Not sure where Jacobs came up with the 890,000 cy figure.

The offsets from this (say) 862,500 cy figure should be:

- NLD: 4,100 - 2004 12,800 - 2005 24**,**700

-pilot cap (MU-37 x 0.3) 12,800 (Note: Apex navigational sampling might have further reduced MU-37 near the Rt 6 bridge opening??)

subtotal: 54,400 cy

volume remaining: 808,100 cy (including vegetated wetlands)

- 2. Upper harbor wetlands: where did the 66,000 cy listed in the attachment come from? The June 2003 FWEC report lists 48,000 cy for the four VUs...
- 3. It wasn't clear to me from the attachment if the 66,000 cy of wetlands were included in the summary time frames and costs, since they were subtracted from the total volume.

4.

Talk to you all next week,

Dave

"Anderson, Michael (Boston)"

(Boston) " To

on@jacobs.com> Dickerson/R1/USEPA/US@EPA

CC

08/02/2006 gary.p.morin@nae02.usace.army.mil 02:37 PM Subject

FW: Draft Unit Rate Analysis - \$15Million and \$30 Million Funding Scenarios

FYI

----Original Message----

From: Pencola, Bill

Sent: Wed 8/2/2006 2:06 PM

To: 'Morin, Gary P NAE'; 'L'Heureux, Paul G NAE';

'Mark.J.Anderson.Jr@nae02.usace.army.mil'

Cc: Anderson, Michael (Boston)
Subject: Draft Unit Rate Analysis - \$15Million and \$30 Million
Funding Scenarios

Gary, Paul & Mark,

Attached please find 2 pdf files that contain the summary comparison information and the detailed analyses for the \$15 and \$30 Million funding scenarios. We have incorporated Gary's comments on the previous version. One pdf file contains the  $8 \ \mbox{$\frac{1}{2}$}\ \mbox{$\frac{1}{2}$}$ 

William Pencola Project Controls Manager Cell (508) 250-4329 Office (508) 743-0214 x235 Site (508) 996-5462 x204

\_\_\_\_\_\_

=

NOTICE - This communication may contain confidential and privileged information that is for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any viewing,

copying or distribution of, or reliance on this message by unintended recipients is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify us immediately by replying to the message and deleting

it from your computer.

\_\_\_\_\_\_

=

(See attached file: NBH Draft Unit Rate Summary Info.pdf) (See attached file: NBH Unit Rate Detailed Analyses 11x17.pdf)

\_\_\_\_\_\_

NOTICE - This communication may contain confidential and privileged information that is for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any viewing, copying or distribution of, or reliance on this message by unintended recipients is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify us immediately by replying to the message and deleting it from your computer.

-----